. •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/757,904	RYAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kristie Shingles	2141
All Participants:	cipants: Status of Application: <u>ALLOWED</u>	
(1) <u>Kristie Shingles, Examiner</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Gregory Carr, Atty# 31,093</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 September 2006</u>	Time: <u>2:45pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1, 12, 23 and 28		
Prior art documents discussed:  n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)
U	•	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested doing an Examiner's Amendment to the independent claims to overcome a lack-of-antecedent-basis rejection for the amended limitation by replacing "the optional field" with "an optional field". Applicant approved the examiner's amendment to the claim language, which is consistent with Applicant's specification.